



Sh. Baljit Singh, S/o Sh Gurcharan Singh,
R/o Patti Nangal, VPO Kokari Kalan,
Distt Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Zonal Drugs Licensing Authority,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Zonal Drugs Licensing Authority,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1947 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Rahul Gupta for the Appellant
Ms.Sonia Gupta, Drug Inspector for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 30.10.2020 has sought information on 10 points regarding details of medical stores in Moga city – total number of medical stores alongwith maps – details of licenses issued to wholesaler & retailers – copy of licenses issued for godown – rule under which a medical store is allowed to sell other items besides medicines etc and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Zonal Drugs Licensing Authority Moga. The appellant was not provided with the information provided after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 13.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. As per the respondent and a letter received (08.09.2021), the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 11.01.2021, except the maps of the shops relating to point (a & c) since it was personal information and is exempted under section 8(1)(j).

The appellant is not satisfied with the reply and stated that he has asked for the site plans of the medical stores, which is public information. As per the appellant, the PIO has also not provided a copy of rules relating to point D & J. As per the respondent, the information related to points D&J is available on the website of the department.

Hearing both the parties and going through the reply of the PIO, the plea of the PIO regarding denial of information for site plans (Point A & C) of medical stores is not maintainable, as the information about site plans is public information as it pertains to the location of the medical store and cannot be construed as invasion to privacy as claimed by the respondent.

The PIO is directed to provide site plan of all medical stores relating to point A & C to the appellant by raising requisite fee under the RTI Act. The information will be provided within 15 days once the fee is deposited.

With the above observations and order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2021

(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Arun Gupta,
H NO-B-XI/162, Backside Nirankari Bhawan,
Barnala .

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Health & Family welfare Deptt,
Pb, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 496 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Arun Gupta for the Appellant
Sh.Kamalpreet Singh, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 18.01.2021 has sought information regarding appointment of laboratory technical grade-2 in 2020 from Nov.2020 till date and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Health and Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not provided with the information provided after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 20.04.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Barnala/Mohali. As per complainant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent present pleaded that since the appellant has sought information in the tabulated form and the same has to be created, it cannot be provided under the RTI Act. The reply has been sent to the complainant vide letter dated 21.09.2021.

The complainant has pleaded that the information be provided in whatever form it exists in the record of the PIO.

Since it is a complaint case and the appellant is interested in the information, the case is remanded back to the First Appellate Authority with the direction to treat this as an appeal case and dispose of the same within a period of 30 days as per RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to First Appellate Authority,
Department of Health and Family Welfare, Pb
Sector 34-A, Chandigarh.



Sh Satnam Singh,
H No-7, G.K Vihar, Manakwal,
Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 486 of 2021

PRESENT: **None for the Appellant**
 Smt.Harpreet Kaur Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 10.03.2021 has sought information regarding construction of new bathrooms in the civil hospital in which authority – name of the company to whom contract given and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The complainant was not provided with the information provided after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 20.04.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that since the information was in the custody of the engineering wing, the reply has already been sent to the complainant vide letter dated 08.10.2021.

The complainant is absent nor is represented.

Since it is a complaint case and the complainant has come to the Commission without going to the First Appellate Authority, if the complainant is not satisfied with the reply, he should go to the First Appellate Authority. The case is remanded back to the First Appellate Authority with the direction to consider this as an appeal case and dispose of the same within a period of 30 days as per RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to First Appellate Authority
O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana.



Sh Amrik Singh,
Block-J/26/100, BRS Nagar,
Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 464 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr.Ramandeep Kaur-Civil Hospital Ludhiana for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 21.02.2021 has sought information regarding action taken on the RTI applications received in Civil Hospital and O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana from 15.01.2020 to 15.02.2021 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon Ludhiana. The complainant was not provided with the information provided after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 15.04.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per respondent, the information being personal information of doctors and staff, it cannot be provided under section 8(1)(j) present of the RTI act and the reply has been sent to the complainant vide letter dated 28.05.2021 and 30.09.2021.

The complainant is absent nor is represented.

Since it is a complaint case and the complainant has come to the Commission without going to the First Appellate Authority, if the complainant is not satisfied with the reply, he should go to the First Appellate Authority. The case is remanded back to the First Appellate Authority with the direction to consider this as an appeal case and dispose of the same within a period of 30 days as per RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to First Appellate Authority
O/o Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana



Sh Jagjit Singh, Aman Nagar,
Street No-3, Backside Green Land School,
Near Jalandhar, Bye pass, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1924 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Bharat Bhushan Mattu for the Appellant
Dr.Manu Vij for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 05.02.2021 has sought information on 13 points regarding copy of complaints dispatch register, RTI application register from 01.07.2018 to 01.12.2019 – RC of vehicle provided to civil surgeon – copy of pollution –copy of log book – driving license of driver –voucher of petrol/diesel & mode of payment –purchase of AC etc and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Civil Surgeon Ludhiana. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 15.02.2021 whereby the appellant was asked to specify the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 03.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per representative of the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent present pleaded that in majority of the points the information sought is ambiguous and not comprehensible. For the points where information can be provided the respondent has sought more time because of joining recently.

After the discussion and reading the RTI Application, I am in agreement with the PIO about non-clarity of point 4 & 10.

However, the rest of the points are comprehensible, and the PIO is directed to provide the information to the appellant on all points as available in the record. However, in points 6 & 13 information regarding driving licence is not to be provided. The information be provided within 15 days of the receipt of the order.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**. The Commission however, makes it clear that if the information is not provided, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Bittu, S/o Sh Om Kumar,
Village Bholapur Jhabewal,
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Health & Family Welfare,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Principal Secretary,
Health & Family Welfare,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1881 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 24.10.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 19.10.2020 filed by the appellant alongwith noting and correspondence as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Principal Secretary, Health and family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information provided after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 24.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that the PIO has not provided the complete information.

The respondent is absent.

Having gone through the RTI application, the PIO is directed to provide whatever action has been taken on the complaint dated 19.10.2020 alongwith all notings/correspondence to the appellant and send a compliance report to the Commission. The information be provided within 15 days of the receipt of the order.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Dr. Sohan Lal Jain,
1355, 1st Floor, Sector-68,
Mohali.

... Complainant.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary to Govt of Punjab,
Department of Health & Family Welfare,
Punjab, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 438 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh, Sohan Lal Jain as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 14.12.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on the representation dated 26.06.2019 with regard to reminder for request of personal hearing and legal assistance for judicial remedy - copy of personal file maintained by Secretary to Govt Department of Health & family welfare and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Secretary to Govt of Punjab, Department of Health and family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 07.04.2021 a complaint in the Commission on 07.04.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. As per complainant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent.

As per a copy of letter dated 15.09.2021 received from PIO through email, the RTI application was transferred to Director Health & Family Welfare on 19.01.2021 with the direction to provide the information to the complainant.

Since it is a complaint case and the complainant has come to the Commission without going to the First Appellate Authority, the case is remanded back to the First Appellate Authority with the direction to treat this as an appeal case and dispose of the same within a period of 30 days as per RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

CC to First Appellate Authority,
O/o Department of Health and Family Welfare,
Punjab, Chandigarh.



Sh.Babu Ram, S/o Sh Karam Chand,
Village Nada, P.O Naya Gaon,
Tehsil Kharar, Distt Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Deptt of Social Security and Development,
Of Women & Child, Sector-34-A,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Deptt of Social Security and Development,
Of Women & Child, Sector-34-A,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1839of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Babu Ram as the Appellant
Sh.Rupinder Singh, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 08.01.2021 has sought information regarding total number of posts in child protection services and vacant post – reasons for pendency – with which authority the posts were filled on outsource basis as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director, Social Security and Development of Women & Child, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 11.02.2021 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing first appeal, the PIO sent information to the appellant vide letter dated 05.04.2021 to which the appellant was satisfied and filed 2nd appeal in the Commission.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. As per respondent, the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 05.04.2021

The appellant is not satisfied and stated that the PIO has not provided the name of the outsource agency hired for recruitment of staff on an outsource basis and the order to hire that agency relating to point-3.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to provide office order/notification to hire the outsource agency for recruitment of staff on outsource basis. The information be provided within ten days of the receipt of the order.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Babu Ram, S/o Sh Karam Chand,
Village Nada, P.O Naya Gaon,
Tehsil Kharar, Distt Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Deptt of Social Security and Development,
Of Women & Child, Sector-34-A,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Deptt of Social Security and Development,
Of Women & Child, Sector-34-A,
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1838 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Babu Ram as the Appellant
Sh.Rupinder Singh, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 08.01.2021 has sought information regarding Sh. Mehinga Singh Kang, Programme Manager, CNPC Educational qualifications and experience certificate at the time of interview and appointment. – date of appointment and relieving – name of dy. director, director and principal secretary who finalized the appointment of Mahinga Singh as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director, Social Security and Development of Women & Child, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information provided after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 11.02.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Mohali. As per respondent, the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 05.04.2021

The appellant is not satisfied and stated the PIO has not provided the detail of experience and qualification certificates relating to point-1 and did not mention the name of officers/officials of departmental committee who finalized the appointment of Sh. Mahinga Singh Kang relating to point-3

The respondent pleaded that the information is 3rd party and it cannot be provided.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to provide a copy of notification for constitution of departmental committee relating to point-3 within ten days of the receipt of order.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.10.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner